

REMARKS

The above amendment and these remarks are responsive to the Advisory action of Examiner Sean M. Reilly of 4 Oct 2006.

Claims 1-106 are in the case, none as yet allowed.

Amendment After Final

Applicants request that the Amendment After Final submitted on or about 13 Sep 2006 be entered and considered.

Response to Advisory Action

This preliminary amendment is submitted in response to the Examiner's statement in the Advisory Action of 4 Oct 2006 that "that no such limitation requiring direct negotiations from the client to the server is found in the

claims."

Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 18, 23, 32, 49, 58, 63, 71, 88, 105, and 106 to make express this limitation that direct negotiations occur between client and server.

Support for this limitation is found in applicants' specification at page 10, line 17, to page 11, line 12, and page 30, lines 9-15

Applicants urge that claims 1-106 be allowed.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Applicants urge that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 1-106.

The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Sincerely,

R. G. Hartmann, et al.

By



Shelley M Beckstrand
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 3 Nov 2006

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.
Patent Attorney
61 Glenmont Road
Woodlawn, VA 24381-1341

Phone: (276) 238-1972
Fax: (276) 238-1545